

Blow-up of solution of an initial boundary value problem for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation

Jiangbo Zhou^{*}, Lixin Tian

*Nonlinear Scientific Research Center, Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University,
Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212013, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the following initial boundary value problem for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x - 2u_xu_{xx} - uu_{xxx} + k(u - u_{xx})_x = 0, t \geq 0, x \in [0, 1], \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0, t \geq 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

Where k is a real constant. We establish local well-posedness of this closed-loop system by using Kato's theorem for abstract quasilinear evolution equation of hyperbolic type. Then, by using multiplier technique, we obtain a conservation law which enable us to present a blow-up result.

Keywords: Generalized Camassa-Holm equation; Initial boundary value problem; Blow up

MSC: 35G25; 35G30; 35L05

1 Introduction

Recently, Camassa and Holm [1] derived a nonlinear dispersive shallow water wave equation

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x = 2u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} \quad (1.1)$$

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-511-88969336; Fax: +86-511-88969336.
Email address: zhoujiangbo@yahoo.cn (Jiangbo Zhou).

which is called Camassa-Holm equation. Here $u(x, t)$ denotes the fluid velocity at time t in the x direction or, equivalently, the height of the water's free surface above a flat bottom. Eq.(1.1) has a bi-Hamiltonian structure [2,3] and is completely integrable [1,4]. It admits, in addition to smooth waves, a multitude of travelling wave solutions with singularities: peakons, cuspons, stumpons and composite waves [1,5,6]. Its solitary waves are stable solitons [7,8], retaining their shape and form after interactions [9]. It models wave breaking [10,11,12].

The Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation has been studied extensively. It has been proved to be locally well-posed [12,13] for initial data $u_0 \in H^S(R)$ with $S > \frac{3}{2}$. Moreover, it has strong solutions that are global in time [14,15] as well as solutions that blow up in finite time [14,16,17,18]. On the other hand, it has global weak solutions with initial data $u_0 \in H^1$ [14,19,20].

The initial boundary value problem for the Camassa-Holm equation was also studied by several authors. For example, Kwek etc. [21] obtained the local existence and blow-up for an initial boundary value problem for the Camassa-Holm equation with the homogeneous boundary conditions: $u(0, t) = u_{xx}(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_{xx}(1, t) = 0$ on interval $[0, 1]$. Ma and Ding [22] obtained the existence and uniqueness of the local strong solutions to an initial boundary problem for the Camassa-Holm equation on half axis R^+ with initial data $u_0 \in H^2(R^+) \cap H_0^1(R^+)$. They also established the global result of the corresponding solution, provided that the initial data u_0 satisfies certain positivity condition.

In this Letter we are interested in an initial boundary value problem for the following equation

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x - 2u_xu_{xx} - uu_{xxx} + k(u - u_{xx})_x = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where k is a real constant, ku_x denotes the dissipative term and ku_{xxx} denotes the dispersive effect. When $k = 0$, Eq.(1.2) is the well known Camassa-Holm equation. The initial boundary value problem for Eq.(1.2) we intend to investigate is

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x - 2u_xu_{xx} - uu_{xxx} + k(u - u_{xx})_x = 0, t \geq 0, x \in [0, 1], \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0, t \geq 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in [0, 1], \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the local well-posedness for the closed-loop system (1.3) by Kato's theorem

[23]. In Section 3, by using multiplier technique, we obtain a conservation law of the closed-loop system (1.3). Using this conservation law we present a blow-up result.

We will use the following notation without further comment. $*$ for convolution; $L(Y, X)$ for all bounded linear operator from Banach space Y to X ($L(X)$ if $X = Y$); $\partial_x = \partial/\partial x$; $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$; H^S is the usual Sobolev spaces, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^S} = \|\cdot\|_S$ and the inner product $(\cdot)_S$; $L^2 = L^2(0, 1)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ and the inner product $(\cdot)_0$; $H_{0,1}^S = \{u(x) \in H^S(0, 1) : u(0) = u(1) = u_x(0) = u_x(1) = 0\}$; $[A, B] = AB - BA$ denotes the commutator of the linear operators A and B ; $C^k(I; X)$ for the space of all k times continuously differentiable functions defined on an interval I with values in Banach space X .

2 Local well-posedness

In this section, we will apply Kato's theorem [23] to establish the local well-posedness for the closed-loop system (1.3). For convenience, we state Kato's theorem in the form suitable for our purpose.

Consider the Cauchy problem associated to a quasilinear evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + A(u)u = f(u) \in X, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0) = u_0 \in Y \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $A(u)$ is a linear operator depending on the unknown u , and u_0 the initial value. To study the Cauchy problem (local in the time) associated to (2.1), we will make the following assumptions:

(X) X and Y are reflexive Banach spaces where $Y \subset X$, with the inclusion continuous and dense, and there is an isomorphism Q from Y onto X .

(A₁) Let W be an open ball centered in 0 and contained in Y . The linear operator $A(u)$ belongs to $G(X, 1, \beta)$ where β is a real number, i.e., $-A(u)$ generates a C_0 -semigroup such that

$$\|e^{-sA(u)}\|_{L(X)} \leq e^{\beta s}.$$

Note that if X is a Hilbert space, then $A \in G(X, 1, \beta)$ if and only if [24]

- (a) $(A\phi, \phi)_X \geq -\beta \|\phi\|_X^2, \forall \phi \in D(A),$
- (b) $(A + \lambda I)$ is onto for some (all) $\lambda > \beta.$

Under these conditions $A(u)$ is said to be quasi-m-accretive.

(A₂) The map $w \in W \rightarrow B(w) = [Q, A(w)]Q^{-1} \in L(X)$ is uniformly bounded and Lipschitz continuous, that is, there exist constants $\lambda_1, \mu_1 > 0$, such that for all $w, y \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|B(w)\|_{L(X)} &\leq \lambda_1, \\ \|B(w) - B(y)\|_{L(X)} &\leq \mu_1 \|w - y\|_Y,\end{aligned}$$

(A₃) $X \subseteq D(A(w))$ for each $w \in W$ (so that $A(w)|_Y \in L(Y, X)$ by the Closed Graph theorem). Moreover, the map $w \in W \rightarrow A(w) \in L(Y, X)$ satisfies the following Lipschitz condition:

$$\|A(w) - A(y)\|_{L(Y, X)} \leq \mu_2 \|w - y\|_X$$

for all $w, y \in W$, where μ_2 is a non-negative constant.

(f) The function $f : W \rightarrow Y$ is bounded, i.e., there is a constant $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that $\|f(w)\|_Y \leq \lambda_2$ for all $w \in W$, and the function $w \in X \rightarrow f(w)$ is Lipschitz in X (resp. in Y), i.e.,

$$\|f(w) - f(y)\|_X \leq \mu_3 \|w - y\|_X, \quad \forall w, y \in W,$$

$$\|f(w) - f(y)\|_Y \leq \mu_4 \|w - y\|_Y, \quad \forall w, y \in W,$$

where μ_3, μ_4 is non-negative constant.

We are now in position to state Kato's local well posedness result.

Theorem 2.1 (Kato's theorem) *Assume conditions (X); (A₁)-(A₃) and (f) hold. Given $u_0 \in Y$, there is $T > 0$ and unique solution $u \in C([0, T]; Y) \cap C^1([0, T]; X)$ to (2.1) with $u(0) = u_0$. Moreover, the map $u_0 \in Y \rightarrow u \in C([0, T]; Y)$ is continuous.*

We now provide the framework in which we shall reformulate problem (1.3).

Let $m = u - u_{xx}$, then Eq.(1.2) takes the form of a quasi-linear evolution equation of hyperbolic type

$$m_t + um_x + 2u_x m + km_x = 0.$$

By using the operator $G(x) = \frac{\cosh(x - [x] - \frac{1}{2})}{2 \sinh(\frac{1}{2})}$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x \in [0, 1]$, then $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} f = G * f$, $\forall f \in L^2$ and $G * m = u$. Using this identity, we can rewrite Eq.(1.2) as

$$u_t + uu_x + \partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)) + ku_x = 0.$$

Then the closed-loop system (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + \partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)) + ku_x = 0, t \geq 0, x \in [0, 1] \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0, t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in [0, 1] \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

For the system (2.2), we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2 *Given $u_0(x) \in H_{0,1}^2$, there exists a maximal value $T = T(u_0(x)) > 0$ and a unique solution $u(x, t)$ to the closed loop system (2.2) such that $u = u(\cdot ; u_0) \in C([0, T]; H_{0,1}^2) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^1)$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data, i.e., the mapping $u_0 \rightarrow u(\cdot ; u_0) : H^2 \rightarrow C([0, T]; H_{0,1}^2) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^1)$ is continuous.*

Let $A(u)u = u\partial_x u + k\partial_x u$, $f(u) = -\partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2))$, $Q = \Lambda$, $X = H^1$, $Y = H_{0,1}^2$.

Remark 2.1 *The operator Q maps Y to X . In fact, $\forall u \in Y$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qu\|_1^2 &= \|Qu\|_0^2 + \|(Qu)_x\|_0^2 \\ &= (Qu, Qu)_0 + ((Qu)_x, (Qu)_x)_0 \\ &= \int_0^1 (u - u_{xx})u dx + \int_0^1 (u_x - u_{xxx})u_x dx \\ &= \int_0^1 u^2 dx - uu_x \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx - u_x u_{xx} \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 dx \\ &= \|u\|_0^2 + 2\|u_x\|_0^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

so $Qu \in X$. Moreover, the operator Q is an isomorphism of Y onto X . One may see the similar argument in [28].

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, by applying Theorem 2.1, we only need to verify $A(u)$ and $f(u)$ satisfy the conditions (X); (A_1) -(A_3) and (f). The following lemmas are useful for our arguments.

Lemma 2.1 ([25]) *Let $f \in H^S$, $S > \frac{3}{2}$, then*

$$\|\Lambda^{-r}[\Lambda^{r+t+1}, M_f]\Lambda^{-t}\|_{L(L^2)} \leq c\|f\|_S, |r|, |t| \leq S - 1,$$

where M_f is the operator of multiplication by f and c is a constant depending only on r, t .

Lemma 2.2 ([26]) *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and Y be continuously and densely embedded in X . Let $-A$ be the infinitesimal generator of the C_0 -semigroup $T(t)$ on X and Q be an isomorphism from Y onto X . Y is $-A$ -admissible (ie. $T(t)Y \subset Y, \forall t \geq 0$, and the restriction of $T(t)$ to Y is*

a C_0 -semigroup on Y .) if and only if $-A_1 = -QAQ^{-1}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the C_0 -semigroup $T_1(t) = QT(t)Q^{-1}$ on X . Moreover, if Y is $-A$ -admissible, then the part of $-A$ in Y is the infinitesimal generator of the restriction of $T(t)$ to Y .

Now we divide the proof of the Theorem 2.2 into the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3 *The operator $A(u) = u\partial_x + k\partial_x$ with $u \in Y$ belongs to $G(L^2, 1, \beta)$.*

Proof. Due to L^2 being a Hilbert space, $A(u) \in G(L^2, 1, \beta)$ [24] if and only if there is a real number β such that

$$(a) (A(u)v, v)_0 \geq -\beta \|v\|_0^2,$$

(b) $-A(u)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on L^2 for some (or all) $\lambda \geq \beta$.

First, let us prove (a). Due to $u \in Y$, u and u_x belongs to L^∞ . Noting that $\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A(u)v, v)_0 &= (uv_x + kv_x, v)_0 \\ &= (uv_x, v)_0 + k(v_x, v)_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(u_x v, v)_0 + \frac{k}{2}v^2|_0^1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}(v, v)_0 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2\|v\|_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2$, we have $(A(u)v, v)_0 \geq -\beta\|v\|_0^2$.

Next we prove (b). Obviously $A(u) = u\partial_x + k\partial_x$ is a closed operator. For $w \in Y$, if there exists $z \in Y$, such that $(\lambda I + A(u))^{-1}z = w$. Then $(\lambda I + A(u))w = z$, i.e., $\lambda w + uw_x + kw_x = z$. Multiplying the both sides of this equality by w , and integrating over $(0, 1)$ by parts, we get

$$\lambda \|w\|_X^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_x w^2 dx = \int_0^1 z w dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Since $u \in Y$, u and u_x belong to L^∞ . Note that $\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_Y$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_x w^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|w\|_X^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_Y \|w\|_X^2. \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_0^1 z w dx \leq \|z\|_X \|w\|_X. \quad (2.5)$$

Then combining (2.3)-(2.5), we obtain

$$\lambda \|w\|_X^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_Y \|w\|_X^2 \leq \|z\|_X \|w\|_X.$$

That is

$$(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_Y) \|w\|_X^2 \leq \|z\|_X \|w\|_X. \quad (2.6)$$

Setting $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_Y$, then by (2.6), we have

$$\|w\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \beta} \|z\|_X, \quad \forall \lambda > \beta.$$

i.e.,

$$\|(\lambda I + A(u))^{-1}\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \beta}, \quad \forall \lambda > \beta.$$

By Hille-Yosida theorem [27], we conclude that the operator $-A(u)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. ■

Lemma 2.4 *The operator $A(u) = u\partial_x + k\partial_x$ with $u \in Y$ belongs to $G(H^1, 1, \beta)$.*

Proof. Due to H^1 being a Hilbert space, $A(u) \in G(H^1, 1, \beta)$ [24] if and only if there is a real number β such that

$$(a) (A(u)v, v)_1 \geq -\beta \|v\|_1^2,$$

(b) $-A(u)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on H^1 for some (or all) $\lambda \geq \beta$.

First, let us prove (a). Let $u \in Y$, then u and u_x belongs to L^∞ and $\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_2$. Note that

$$\Lambda(uv_x) = [\Lambda, u]v_x + u\Lambda v_x = [\Lambda, u]v_x + u\partial_x \Lambda v.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A(u)v, v)_1 &= (\Lambda(uv_x + kv_x), \Lambda v)_0 \\ &= ([\Lambda, u]v_x, \Lambda v)_0 - \frac{1}{2} (u_x \Lambda v, \Lambda v)_0 + k(\Lambda v_x, \Lambda v)_0 \\ &\leq \|[\Lambda, u]\|_{L(L^2)} \|\Lambda v\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda v\|_0^2 \\ &\leq c \|u\|_2 \|v\|_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we apply Lemma 2.1 with $r = 0$, $t = 0$. Setting $\beta = c \|u\|_2$, we have $(A(u)v, v)_1 \geq -\beta \|v\|_1^2$.

Next we prove (b). Note that $Q = \Lambda$ is an isomorphism of Y onto X and Y is

continuously and densely embedded in X . Define

$$A_1(u) := [Q, A(u)]Q^{-1} = [\Lambda, A(u)]\Lambda^{-1}, \quad B_1(u) := A_1(u) - A(u),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(u) &= [\Lambda, (u + \gamma)\partial_x]\Lambda^{-1} - (u + \gamma)\partial_x \\ &= [\Lambda, u\partial_x]\Lambda^{-1} + \gamma\Lambda\partial_x\Lambda^{-1} - (u + \gamma)\partial_x \\ &= [\Lambda, u]\partial_x\Lambda^{-1} + u\Lambda\partial_x\Lambda^{-1} - u\partial_x \\ &= [\Lambda, u]\partial_x\Lambda^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v \in L^2$ and $u \in Y$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_1(u)v\|_0 &= \|[\Lambda, u]\partial_x\Lambda^{-1}v\|_0 \\ &= \|[\Lambda, u]\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x v\|_0 \\ &\leq \|[\Lambda, u]\|_{L(L^2)} \|\Lambda^{-1}\partial_x v\|_0 \\ &\leq c \|u\|_2 \|v\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

where we apply Lemma 2.1 with $r = 0$, $t = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that $B_1(u) \in L(L^2)$.

Note that $A_1(u) = A(u) + B_1(u)$ and $A(u) \in G(L^2, 1, \beta)$ in Lemma 2.3. By a perturbation theorem for semigroups (cf. §5.2 Theorem 2.3 in [26]), we obtain that $A_1(u) \in G(L^2, 1, \beta')$. By applying Lemma 2.2 with $Y = H_{0,1}^1$, $X = L^2$ and $Q = \Lambda$, we conclude that Y is $-A$ -admissible. So, $-A(u)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on Y . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. ■

Lemma 2.5 *For all $u \in Y$, $A(u) \in L(Y, X)$. Moreover,*

$$\|(A(u) - A(z))w\|_X \leq \|u - z\|_X \|w\|_Y, \quad u, z, w \in Y.$$

Proof. For $u, z, w \in Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A(u) - A(z))w\|_X &= \|(uw_x + kw_x) - (zw_x + kw_x)\|_X \\ &\leq \|u - z\|_X \|w_x\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \|u - z\|_X \|w\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $z = 0$ in the above inequality, we obtain $A(u) \in L(Y, X)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. ■

Lemma 2.6 For $u \in Y$, $B(u) = [\Lambda, A(u)]\Lambda^{-1} \in L(X)$, and

$$\|(B(u) - B(z))w\|_X \leq c \|u - z\|_Y \|w\|_X, \quad \forall u, z \in Y, \quad w \in X.$$

Proof. Let $u, z \in Y$, $w \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(B(u) - B(z))w\|_X &= \left\| \Lambda[\Lambda, (u - z)\partial_x]\Lambda^{-1}w \right\|_0 \\ &\leq \left\| \Lambda[\Lambda, (u - z)]\Lambda^{-1} \right\|_{L(L^2)} \|w_x\|_0 \\ &\leq c \|u - z\|_Y \|w\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

where we apply the Lemma 2.1 with $r = -1$ and $t = 1$. Taking $z = 0$ in the above inequality, we obtain $B(u) \in L(X)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6. ■

Lemma 2.7 $f(u) = -\partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2))$ satisfies

$$(a) \|f(u)\|_Y \leq c \|u\|_Y^2, \quad u \in Y;$$

$$(b) \|f(u) - f(z)\|_X \leq c \|u - z\|_X, \quad u, z \in Y;$$

$$(c) \|f(u) - f(z)\|_Y \leq c \|u - z\|_Y, \quad u, z \in Y.$$

Proof. Let $u, z \in Y$. Note that $H_{0,1}^1$ is a Banach algebra. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u) - f(z)\|_Y &= \left\| -\partial_x G * (u^2 - z^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}z_x^2) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|(u - z)(u + z)\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} \|(u - z)_x(u + z)_x\|_1 \\ &\leq \|u - z\|_1 \|u + z\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} \|(u - z)_x\|_1 \|(u + z)_x\|_1 \\ &\leq \|u - z\|_2 \|u + z\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u - z\|_2 \|u + z\|_2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{3}{2} \|u\|_2 + \frac{3}{2} \|z\|_2 \right) \|u - z\|_2 \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{2} \|u\|_Y + \frac{3}{2} \|z\|_Y \right) \|u - z\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (c). Taking $z = 0$ in the above inequality, we obtain (a). Next, we prove (b).

Let $v, z \in Y$. Note that $H_{0,1}^1$ is a Banach algebra. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u) - f(z)\|_X &= \left\| -\partial_x G * (u^2 - z^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}z_x^2) \right\|_1 \\
&\leq \|(u - z)(u + z)\|_0 + \frac{1}{2}\|(u - z)_x(u + z)_x\|_0 \\
&\leq \|u - z\|_0\|u + z\|_0 + \frac{1}{2}\|(u - z)_x\|_0\|(u + z)_x\|_0 \\
&\leq \|u - z\|_1\|u + z\|_2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u - z\|_1\|u + z\|_2 \\
&\leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\|u\|_2 + \frac{3}{2}\|z\|_2\right)\|u - z\|_1 \\
&= \left(\frac{3}{2}\|u\|_Y + \frac{3}{2}\|z\|_Y\right)\|u - z\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Combining Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3-2.7, we get the statement of Theorem 2.2. ■

3 Blow up

Firstly, by using multiplier technique, we obtain the following conservation law of the closed-loop system (2.2).

Theorem 3.1 *Let $T > 0$ be the maximal time of existence of the solution $u(x, t)$ to the closed-loop system (2.2) (or (1.3)) with the initial data $u_0(x) \in H_{0,1}^2$, then*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_1^2 = \|u_0\|_1^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation of the closed-loop system (2.2) by $u - u_{xx}$, and integrating over $(0, 1)$ by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 u_t(u - u_{xx})dx &= -\int_0^1 uu_x(u - u_{xx})dx - k \int_0^1 u_x(u - u_{xx})dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2))(u - u_{xx})dx
\end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

For the LHS of (3.2), using the boundary conditions of the closed-loop system (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 u_t(u - u_{xx})dx &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 u^2 dx - u_t u_x \Big|_0^1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^1 u^2 dx + \int_0^1 u_x^2 dx \right)\end{aligned}\quad (3.3)$$

For the RHS of (3.2), using the boundary conditions of the closed-loop system (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& - \int_0^1 u u_x(u - u_{xx})dx - k \int_0^1 u_x(u - u_{xx})dx - \int_0^1 \partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2))(u - u_{xx})dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 u^2 u_x dx + \int_0^1 u u_x u_{xx} dx - k \int_0^1 u u_x dx + k \int_0^1 u_x u_{xx} dx \\ & - G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)u \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)u_x dx \\ & + \partial_x(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2))u_x \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \partial_x^2(G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2))u_x dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}u^3 \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u u_x u_{xx} dx - \frac{k}{2}u^2 \Big|_0^1 + \frac{k}{2}u_x^2 \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)u_x dx \\ &= \int_0^1 u u_x u_{xx} dx + (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)u \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 (2u u_x + u_x u_{xx})u dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 2u^2 u_x dx = -\frac{2}{3}u^3 \Big|_0^1 = 0\end{aligned}\quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (3.2)-(3.4) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (u^2 + u_x^2) dx = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

Integrating (3.5) over $(0, t)$, we obtain (3.1). ■

Remark 3.1 *Employing the Agmon's inequality*

$$\int_0^1 u^2(x) dx \leq 2u^2(0) + 4 \int_0^1 u_x^2(x) dx$$

and the Poincaré inequality

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} u^2(x) \leq u^2(0) + 2 \sqrt{\int_0^1 u^2(x) dx} \sqrt{\int_0^1 u_x^2(x) dx}$$

with $u(0) = 0$, we have

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| \leq 2 \sqrt{\int_0^1 u_x^2(x) dx}$$

It then follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,+\infty)} |u(x,t)| \leq 2\|u_0\|_1$$

This shows that the solution $u(x,t)$ to the closed-loop system (2.2) or system (1.3) is bounded if the initial data $u_0(x) \in H_{0,1}^2$.

Now we present a blow-up result of solution to the closed-loop system (2.2) (or system (1.3)).

Theorem 3.2 Assume $u_0(x) \in H_{0,1}^2$ and T is the maximal existence time of the solution $u(x,t)$ to the closed-loop system (2.2) (or (1.3)) guaranteed by Theorem 2.2. If there exists one point $x_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $u_{xx}(x_0,t) = 0$ and $u'_0(x_0) < -\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1$, then the corresponding solution blows up in finite time. Moreover, the maximal time of existence is estimated above by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1} \ln\left(\frac{h(0) - \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}{h(0) + \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}\right)$$

where $h(0) = u'_0(x_0)$.

Proof. Differentiating the first equation of the closed-loop system (2.2) with respect to x , in view of $\partial_x^2 G * f = G * f - f$, we have

$$u_{tx} = -\frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - uu_{xx} + \gamma u_{xx} + u^2 - G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2) \quad (3.6)$$

Let $x = x_0$ in (3.6) and set $h(t) = u_x(x_0, t)$. Noting that $u_{xx}(x_0, t) = 0$ and $G * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$h'(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2}h^2(t) + u^2(x_0, t). \quad (3.7)$$

In view of (3.1) and Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$u^2(x_0, t) \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \|u\|_1^2 = \|u_0\|_1^2. \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$h'(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2}h^2(t) + \|u_0\|_1^2.$$

Note that if $h(0) \leq -\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1$, then $h(t) \leq \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1$, for all $t \in [0, T)$. Therefore, from the above inequality we obtain

$$\frac{h(0) + \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}{h(0) - \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1} e^{\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1 t} - 1 \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}{h(t) - \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1} \leq 0.$$

Due to $0 < \frac{h(0)+\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}{h(0)-\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1} < 1$, then exists

$$T_0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1} \ln\left(\frac{h(0) - \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}{h(0) + \sqrt{2}\|u_0\|_1}\right)$$

such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} h(t) = -\infty.$$

Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0} \|u\|_2 = \infty$ because $|u_x(x, t)| \leq \|u_x(x, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_2$. That is, the solution $u(x, t)$ to the closed-loop system (2.2) does not exist globally in time in function space $H_{0,1}^2$. ■

Remark 3.2 *Theorem 3.2 shows that although $\int_0^1 u_x^2 dx$ is bounded (see Theorem 3.1), it does not guarantee that $u_x(x, t)$ is bounded for all $x \in [0, 1]$.*

References

- [1] R. Camassa, D. D. Holm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 1661.
- [2] A. Fokas, B. Fuchssteiner, Physica D 4 (1981) 47.
- [3] J. Lenells, J. Phys. A 38 (2005) 869.
- [4] A. Constantin, Proc. R. Soc. London A 457 (2001) 953.
- [5] J. Lenells, J. Differential Equations 217 (2005) 393.
- [6] Y. Li, P. Olver, J. Differential Equations 162 (2000) 27.
- [7] A. Constantin, W. A. Strauss, J. Nonlinear Sci. 12 (2002) 415.
- [8] A. Constantin, W. A. Strauss, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 53 (2000) 603.
- [9] R. S. Johnson, Proc. R. Soc. London A 459 (2003) 1687.
- [10] A. Constantin, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 50 (2000) 321.
- [11] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Acta Math. 181 (1998) 229.
- [12] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Math. Z. 233 (2000) 75.
- [13] G. Rodriguez-Blanco, Nonlinear Anal. 46 (2001) 309.
- [14] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998) 475.
- [15] H. Dai, K. Kwek, H. Gao, C. Qu, Front. Math. China 1 (2006), 144.
- [16] A. Constantin, J. Differential Equations 141 (1997) 218.
- [17] A. Constantin, J. Nonlinear Sci. 10 (2000) 391.

- [18] A. Constantin, J. Escher, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa* 26 (1998) 303.
- [19] A. Constantin, L. Molinet, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 211 (2000) 45 .
- [20] Z. Xin, P. Zhang, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 53 (2000) 1411.
- [21] K. Kwek, H. Gao, W. Zhang, C. Qu, *J. Math. Phys.* 41 (2000) 8279 .
- [22] S. Ma, S. Ding, *J. Math. Phys.* 45 (2004) 3479.
- [23] T. Kato: Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations. In: *Spectral Theory and Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1975, pp. 25-70.
- [24] T. Kato, *Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud.* 8 (1983) 93.
- [25] T. Kato, *Manuscripta Math.* 28 (1979) 89.
- [26] A. Pazy, *Semigroup of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [27] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*, Berlin/New York, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [28] X. Zong, Y. Zhao, *Nonlinear Analysis* 67 (2007) 3167.